

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property  
Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
17 June 2004 (17.06.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2004/050913 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: C12Q 1/68, (74) Agent: WAKERLEY, Helen, Rachael; Reddic & Grose, A61K 31/00, A61P 25/28 16 Theobalds Road, London WC1X 8PL (GB).
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/GB2003/005131
- (22) International Filing Date: 26 November 2003 (26.11.2003)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data: 0228079.0 2 December 2002 (02.12.2002) GB
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): LAXDALE LIMITED [GB/GB]; Kings Park House, Laurelhill Business Park, Polmaise Road, Stirling FK7 8JQ (GB).
- (71) Applicant and  
(72) Inventor (for US only): HORROBIN, David, Frederick [GB/GB]; Laxdale Limited, Kings Park House, Laurelhill Business Park, Polmaise Road, Stirling FK7 9JQ (GB).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

WO 2004/050913 A1

(54) Title: TREATMENT OF HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE WITH EPA

(57) Abstract: Analysis of the huntington gene provides a method for identifying patients likely to respond to treatment of Huntington's disease with eicosapentaenoic acid, EPA.

## TREATMENT OF HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE WITH EPA

Huntington's Disease (HD) is a lethal genetic disease caused by mutations in the gene for the protein Huntingtin on human chromosome 4. The fatty acid, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), in any appropriate pharmaceutical form can be used to treat HD (as discussed in European patent application 1148873).

The present invention relates to the treatment of HD and is based on a finding that the therapeutic effect of EPA occurs particularly in those patients with a particular genetic form of HD.

The present invention provides a method of identifying patients with HD, or individuals who are at risk of developing HD, who are particularly likely to respond to treatment with EPA in any appropriate form and comprises the step of carrying out a test to determine the number of CAG repeats in the Huntingtin gene and identifying those subjects with 45 or fewer repeats.

If the subject has less than 36 repeats, this is an indication of a normal individual. In a preferred test, the subjects selected are those with 44 or fewer, or between 36 and 44, CAG repeats.

The test may be carried out on a sample taken from the subject for analysis purposes only and not returned to the subject. The diagnostic step will be carried out *in vitro*.

The present invention further provides a method of treating HD, and a method for preventing the development of symptoms in individuals who are at risk of developing HD, comprising the step of determining the number of CAG repeats in the subject's gene for Huntington and, if this is 45 or fewer, administering to the subject EPA in any bioavailable form. In a preferred test, the subjects selected for administration of EPA are those with 44 or fewer, or between 36 and 44, CAG repeats.

The EPA used in the methods of the present invention is preferably ethyl-EPA.

A CAG repeat number of 46 or more does not show any difference at all on treatment between administration of a placebo and of EPA. In contrast, and unexpectedly, patients suffering from HD who have CAG repeat numbers of 45 or below show a large benefit on administration of EPA.

Although all HD patients have a genetic abnormality in the same gene, not all patients have the same abnormality. The normal gene for huntingtin contains a sequence of CAG repeats which code for a polyglutamine sequence in the gene itself. Even in normal individuals, the polyglutamine sequence is of variable length, but so long as it contains less than 36 CAG repeats and hence less than 36 glutamines in the polyglutamine sequence, the individual will be normal. However, when the sequence contains 36 or

more CAG repeats and consequent glutamine sequences, HD will develop. Patients with HD may have anything from 36 to more than 100 CAG repeats.

HD usually starts with movement disorders, particularly affecting the face, head and neck and limbs. These progress and are often accompanied by psychiatric abnormalities and cognitive impairment leading to dementia. The abnormalities are initially caused by huntingtin damage to the neurons of the striatum, but later wide areas of the brain may be involved. Eventually patients become bedridden and completely unable to care for themselves. They usually die 10 to 25 years after the onset of the disease.

The number of CAG repeats has a strong effect on the age of onset of the disease. Patients with numbers only just over 35 may not become ill until their 50s or 60s or even later. Patients with repeat numbers over 60 may become ill in adolescence or even in childhood. Most patients, however, tend to fall ill between the ages of 30 and 50. Once the disease has started, there is a tendency for patients with large numbers of CAG repeats to progress more rapidly although this effect is weak compared to the strong effect on age of onset.

The number of CAG repeats can be identified by diagnostic tests based on the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). These tests provide a firm diagnosis of HD and can, of course, be applied to pre-

symptomatic patients. However, relatively few pre-symptomatic individuals who are at risk of being carriers of the HD gene, and therefore who will inevitably develop the disease at some time, bother to get tested. Many people who do have HD symptoms also do not get tested. The main argument for not being tested is that there are no treatments available for HD, so what is the point of knowing exactly that the gene is present and what sort of gene it is.

Clinical trials of the ethyl ester of eicosapentaenoate (ethyl-EPA) in HD have provided strong evidence of the benefit of EPA in HD, and also, completely unexpectedly, of the value of CAG genetic testing.

135 patients with genetically-confirmed HD were entered into a one year trial. They were randomised to receive either 2g/day of ethyl-EPA or an identical-appearing placebo. They were evaluated at baseline, six months and 12 months on the total motor score (TMS) subscale of the Unified Huntington's Disease Rating Scale (UHDRS). The UHDRS is the standard rating scale which is used to monitor the development of HD. The TMS is the component of the UHDRS which changes most reliably, rapidly and consistently and is therefore appropriate for monitoring the outcome of clinical trials.

At the end of one year, change in TMS was compared in the placebo group and the ethyl-EPA group. Overall

there was a better outcome on ethyl-EPA than on placebo but this was not statistically significant. However, when patients were stratified on the basis of their CAG repeat numbers, a dramatic benefit of ethyl-EPA was uncovered. Patients who had CAG repeat number of 46 or more did not show any difference at all between placebo and ethyl-EPA. In contrast, patients who had CAG repeat numbers of below 45 showed a large benefit from ethyl-EPA. Placebo patients with CAG repeat numbers 45 and below deteriorated by an average of 5.3%. In contrast, the same group of patients on ethyl-EPA improved over the year by 19.3%. This difference was highly statistically significant on either analysis of covariance or on chi square testing. Particularly striking is the fact that the great majority of patients on ethyl-EPA actually improved. Previously the best that had been hoped for in neurodegenerative diseases like HD was a slowing of deterioration rather than any actual improvement. Since the ethyl-EPA group improved more than three and a half times more than the patients on placebo over one year, this means that after one year the EPA and placebo patients had separated by more than four and a half years of disease progression. Putting it another way, the treated patients had gained at least four and a half years of useful life. In contrast, the patients who had 46 or more CAG repeats did not show any difference between the ethyl-EPA and placebo treatment.

It is preferred that in the methods of treatment of the invention, and the methods of postponing or preventing the onset of the Huntington's disease, the EPA treatment is of the nature discussed in European patent application 1148873.

It is preferred to use pure or nearly pure EPA and EPA derivatives. DHA and related fatty acids may not only be ineffective but may actually reduce the efficacy of EPA and its derivatives.

The preferred preparations comprise EPA in an appropriately assimilable form where of all the fatty acids present in the preparation at least 90%, and preferably at least 95%, is in the form of EPA and where less than 5%, and preferably less than 3%, is in the form of docosahexaenoic acid.

Preferably, among the other fatty acids present there are less than 5%, and preferably less than 3%, of each of AA or DPA-n-3, individually. The same preferably applies for any other fatty acids which might compete with the EPA.

It is preferred that the aggregate DHA, AA and/or DPA-n-3 content is less than 10%, of the total fatty acids present, and preferably less than 5%.

The EPA may be in the form of ethyl-EPA, lithium EPA, mono-, di- or triglyceride EPA or any other ester or salt of EPA, or the free acid form of EPA. The EPA may also be in the form of a 2-substituted derivative

or other derivative which slows down its rate of oxidation but does not otherwise change its biological action on psychiatric or brain disorders to any substantial degree (N. Willumsen et al., *Biochimica Biophysica Acta*, 1998, 1369: 193-203).

The EPA may be combined with a drug which acts primarily on neurotransmitter metabolism or receptors. Suitable drugs for co-administration with the EPA preparations are clozapine; and any one of the class of typical or atypical neuroleptics, including chlorpromazine, haloperidol, risperidone, olanzapine, sertindole, ziprasidone, zotepine or amisulpiride. Standard anti-schizophrenic drugs, antidepressants, tranquillizers, and anti-epileptic drugs, which are used to relieve some of the symptoms of Huntington's disease, may be administered together with the EPA formulations.

As an example of the treatment of Huntington's disease, taken from EP application 1148873, a randomised trial of 96% pure ethyl-EPA was set up in seven severely disabled patients in the final stages of Huntington's disease. All required 24 hour nursing care, had severe movement disorders, were irritable and were partially demented. They were randomised on a double blind basis to receive 2g/day ethyl-EPA or 2g/day placebo for 6 months. During the 6 month period, four patients showed progressive deterioration while three patients reversed the course of the disease and showed improvement with reduced abnormal movements, reduced emotional

lability and irritability and improved memory and cognitive function. When the code was broken all four patients who deteriorated were found to be on placebo, while all three patients who improved were found to be taking ethyl-EPA. In four of the patients, two on ethyl-EPA and two on placebo, the brain degeneration was assessed at the beginning and end of the study by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). MRI allows an accurate assessment of the size of the lateral ventricles, the fluid-filled spaces within the cerebral hemispheres. As Huntington's disease progresses, the lateral ventricles enlarge indicating loss of brain tissue. In the two patients on placebo over 6 months the ventricles enlarged as expected. In the two patients on ethyl-EPA, the MRI showed a reduction in lateral ventricle size indicating an actual reversal of brain tissue loss.

These dramatic results in patients in the end stage of a previously untreatable disease caused by abnormal protein accumulation demonstrate the value of ethyl-EPA in neurodegenerative disorders.

The present invention provides a significant advance in identifying which patients are likely to respond to such a treatment by analysis of the Huntington gene. The invention offers the advantage that it is possible to identify patients who are at risk of developing the disease and to administer to them EPA to prevent or postpone the development of symptoms of the disease.

The EPA formulations, in 90% and preferably 95% or even purer forms, may all be administered orally via delivery systems known to those skilled in the art, including soft and hard gelatin capsules; microcapsules in powder, tableted or capsule form; tablets for the solid compound, lithium-EPA; or emulsions made with appropriate natural or synthetic emulsifying agents, including phospholipids or galactolipids. The compounds may also be administered parenterally, either directly, or formulated in various oils or in emulsions or dispersions, using either intravenous, intraperitoneal, intramuscular or sub-cutaneous routes. Topical applications using patch technology or vaginal or rectal forms of application are within the range of the invention.

When combined with a drug used to ease the symptoms of Huntington's, the EPA compound and the other drug may be administered separately, each in their own formulation. They may be packaged separately or be present in the same overall package. Alternatively, using techniques well known to those skilled in the art, the EPA and other drug may be formulated together, so that a daily dose of EPA of 0.1g to 10g per day, and preferably of 0.5g to 5g per day, is provided with the normal daily dose of the other drug.

When supplied alone, the useful daily dose of EPA may be in the range of 0.05g to 50g/day, preferably 0.1g to 10g/day and very preferably 0.5g to 5g/day.

#### EXAMPLE FORMULATIONS

In each of the following examples the product is at least 90% and preferably 95% or more pure. This is very important as other fatty acids will compete with the EPA for the binding sites and reduce its efficacy. In particular, fatty acids such as DHA, AA, DPA-n-3 will, individually, be present in concentrations of less than 5% and preferably less than 3%. The total aggregate of such competing compounds must be less than 10% and preferably less than 5%. This degree of purity is also valuable in minimising the volume of material which must be consumed each day, a major factor in helping compliance in psychiatric patients where lack of compliance is a serious problem.

1. Capsules made of hard or soft gelatin which contain 250mg, 500mg, or 1000mg of ethyl-EPA, triglyceride EPA or other appropriate form of EPA.
2. Tablets containing 250mg, 500mg or 1000mg lithium-EPA or hard gelatin capsules containing similar amounts.
3. Emulsions, solutions or dispersions in which the lithium-EPA, ethyl-EPA, triglyceride EPA or other

appropriate form of EPA are prepared in a palatable liquid form for oral administration.

4. Suppositories or pessaries into which 100mg to 5g of one of the EPA compounds are formulated.

5. Intravenous solutions or emulsions containing from 10mg to 500mg/ml of one of the EPA compounds.

6-10. As examples 1-5, but using 2-substituted derivatives of EPA.

11-20. As in 1-10 but in which the EPA compound is formulated with the usual dose of any other drug used for the treatment of the symptoms of Huntington's disease.

Claims

1. A method of identifying patients with Huntington's disease, or individuals who are at risk of developing Huntington's disease, who will respond to treatment with EPA in any bioavailable form comprising the step of determining the number of CAG repeats in the Huntingtin gene and identifying those subjects with 45 or fewer repeats.
2. A method according to claim 1, in which the treatment comprises administration of ethyl-EPA.
3. A method of treating Huntington's disease comprising the steps of identifying patients having 45 or fewer CAG repeats in the gene for huntingtin and administering to those patients EPA in any bioavailable form.
4. A method of preventing the development of symptoms in individuals who are at risk of developing Huntington's disease comprising the steps of identifying individuals having 45 or fewer CAG repeats in the gene for huntingtin and administering to those individuals EPA in any bioavailable form.

5. A method according to claim 3 or 4 in which the EPA administered is in the form of ethyl-EPA.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal ref.	Application No.
PCT/GB 03/05131	

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 C12Q1/68 A61K31/00 A61P25/28

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 C12Q A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, BIOSIS, MEDLINE, EMBASE

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 2002/077361 A1 (VADDADI KRISHNA S ET AL) 20 June 2002 (2002-06-20) column 8, paragraph 3 -column 9, paragraph 2 --- A US 6 384 077 B1 (VADDADI KRISHNA S ET AL) 7 May 2002 (2002-05-07) column 2, line 34 column 14, paragraph 3 -column 16, paragraph 2 ---	1-5
		1-5 -/-

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*Z\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the International search	Date of mailing of the International search report
4 March 2004	12/03/2004
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.O. Box 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel: (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  Osborne, H

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internat	Application No
PCT/GB 03/05131	

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	BECHER M W ET AL: "INTRANUCLEAR NEURONAL INCLUSIONS IN HUNTINGTON DISEASE AND DENTATORUBRAL AND PALLIDOLYSIAN ATROPHY: CORRELATION BETWEEN THE DENSITY OF INCLUSIONS AND IT15 CAG TRIPLET REPEAT LENGTH" NEUROBIOLOGY OF DISEASE, BLACKWELL SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS, OXFORD, GB, vol. 4, no. 6, April 1998 (1998-04), pages 387-397, XP000997534 ISSN: 0969-9961 page 392, paragraph 2 -page 395 -----	1-6
Y	WO 99 15639 A (ROULEAU GUY A ;UNIV MCGILL (CA); JOOBER RIDHA (CA); BENKELFAT CHAW) 1 April 1999 (1999-04-01) page 1-7; claims 5,10 -----	1-6
A	WO 01 24781 A (DENOVAN WRIGHT EILEEN M ;NOVANEURON INC (CA); ROBERTSON HAROLD A () 12 April 2001 (2001-04-12) -----	1-6
A	NANCE M A: "CLINICAL ASPECTS OF CAG REPEAT DISEASES" BRAIN PATHOLOGY, ZUERICH, CH, vol. 7, no. 3, July 1997 (1997-07), pages 881-900, XP000998301 ISSN: 1015-6305 the whole document -----	1-6
A	EP 0 614 977 A (GEN HOSPITAL CORP) 14 September 1994 (1994-09-14) claim 13 -----	1-6

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inte  
nal application No.  
PCT/GB 03/05131

### Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  

Although claims 3-5 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3.  Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

### Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1.  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

#### Remark on Protest

- The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  
 No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/GB 03/05131

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 2002077361	A1	20-06-2002	US 2002183389 A1 US 2002193439 A1 AU 3065700 A BR 0007743 A CA 2360776 A1 CN 1338929 T CZ 20012695 A3 EE 200100387 A EP 1148873 A2 WO 0044361 A2 HR 20010558 A1 HU 0105215 A2 JP 2002535355 T NO 20013546 A NZ 513172 A SK 10392001 A3 TR 200102170 T2 US 6384077 B1 ZA 200106105 A	05-12-2002 19-12-2002 18-08-2000 27-11-2001 03-08-2000 06-03-2002 13-02-2002 17-02-2003 31-10-2001 03-08-2000 31-08-2002 29-05-2002 22-10-2002 25-09-2001 31-10-2003 05-02-2002 21-12-2001 07-05-2002 03-03-2003
US 6384077	B1	07-05-2002	AU 3065700 A BR 0007743 A CA 2360776 A1 CN 1338929 T CZ 20012695 A3 EE 200100387 A EP 1148873 A2 WO 0044361 A2 HR 20010558 A1 HU 0105215 A2 JP 2002535355 T NO 20013546 A NZ 513172 A SK 10392001 A3 TR 200102170 T2 US 2002077361 A1 US 2002183389 A1 US 2002193439 A1 ZA 200106105 A	18-08-2000 27-11-2001 03-08-2000 06-03-2002 13-02-2002 17-02-2003 31-10-2001 03-08-2000 31-08-2002 29-05-2002 22-10-2002 25-09-2001 31-10-2003 05-02-2002 21-12-2001 20-06-2002 05-12-2002 19-12-2002 03-03-2003
WO 9915639	A	01-04-1999	CA 2216057 A1 AU 757556 B2 AU 9149598 A BR 9812254 A CA 2303106 A1 WO 9915639 A1 EP 1015574 A1 JP 2001517432 T	19-03-1999 27-02-2003 12-04-1999 09-07-2002 01-04-1999 01-04-1999 05-07-2000 09-10-2001
WO 0124781	A	12-04-2001	CA 2285690 A1 AU 7766300 A WO 0124781 A2 CA 2320400 A1 EP 1223937 A2 JP 2003510349 T	07-04-2001 10-05-2001 12-04-2001 07-04-2001 24-07-2002 18-03-2003
EP 0614977	A	14-09-1994	AT 231551 T AU 676001 B2	15-02-2003 27-02-1997

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No.  
PCT/GB 03/05131

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0614977 A		AU 5642994 A	08-09-1994
		CA 2116280 A1	06-09-1994
		DE 69432031 D1	27-02-2003
		EP 0614977 A2	14-09-1994
		JP 7067661 A	14-03-1995
		US 5686288 A	11-11-1997
		US 5693757 A	02-12-1997